arXiv:1510.03196v1 [math.AG] 12 Oct 2015

A tropical construction of a family of real reducible curves.

Arthur Renaudineau

Abstract

We give a constructive proof using tropical modifications of the existence of a family of real algebraic plane curves with asymptotically maximal numbers of even ovals.

1 Introduction

Let A be a non-singular real algebraic plane curve in $\mathbb{CP}^2$. Its real part, denoted by $\mathbb{R}A$, is a disjoint union of embedded circles in $\mathbb{RP}^2$. A component of $\mathbb{R}A$ is called an *oval* if it divides $\mathbb{RP}^2$ in two connected components. If the degree of the curve A is even, then the real part $\mathbb{R}A$ is a disjoint union of ovals. An oval of $\mathbb{R}A$ is called *even* (resp., *odd*) if it is contained inside an even (resp., odd) number of ovals. Denote by p (resp., n) the number of even (resp., odd) ovals of $\mathbb{R}A$.

Petrovsky inequalities: For any real algebraic plane curves of degree $2k$, one has

$$-\frac{3}{2}k(k-1) \leq p - n \leq \frac{3}{2}k(k-1) + 1.$$

One can deduce upper bounds for p and n from Petrovsky inequalities and Harnack theorem (which gives an upper bound for the number of components of a real algebraic curves with respect to its genus):

$$p \leq \frac{7}{4}k^2 - \frac{9}{4}k + \frac{3}{2},$$

and

$$n \leq \frac{7}{4}k^2 - \frac{9}{4}k + 1.$$

In 1906, V. Ragsdale formulated the following conjecture.

Conjecture. (*Ragsdale*)
For any real algebraic plane curve of degree $2k$, one has

$$p \leq \frac{3}{2}k(k-1) + 1,$$

and

$$n \leq \frac{3}{2}k(k-1).$$

In 1993, I. Itenberg used combinatorial patchworking to construct, for any $k \geq 5$, a real algebraic plane curve of degree $2k$ with

$$p = \frac{3}{2}k(k-1) + 1 + \left\lfloor \frac{(k-3)^2+4}{8} \right\rfloor,$$

and a real algebraic plane curve of degree $2k$ with

$$n = \frac{3}{2}k(k-1) + \left\lfloor \frac{(k-3)^2+4}{8} \right\rfloor,$$

see [Ite95]. This construction was improved by B. Haas (see [Haa95]) then by Itenberg (see [Ite01]) and finally by E. Brugallé (see [Bru06]). Brugallé constructed a family of real algebraic plane curves with

$$\lim_{k\to+\infty} \frac{p}{k^2} = \frac{7}{4},$$

and a family of real algebraic plane curves with

$$\lim_{k\to+\infty} \frac{n}{k^2} = \frac{7}{4}.$$

In order to construct such families, Brugallé proved the existence of a family of real reducible curves $\mathcal{D}_n \cup \mathcal{C}_n$ in Σ_n, the nth Hirzebruch surface. The curve $\mathcal{D}_n$ has Newton polytope

$$\Delta_n = Conv\left((0,0),(n,0),(0,1)\right),$$

the curve $\mathcal{C}_n$ has Newton polytope

$$\Theta_n = Conv\left((0,0),(n,0),(0,2),(n,1)\right),$$

and the chart of $\mathcal{D}_n \cup \mathcal{C}_n$ is homeomorphic to the one depicted in Figure 1.


2n intersection points
n intersection points
n intersection points and one oval between two consecutive intersection points.

Figure 1: The chart of $\mathcal{D}_n \cup \mathcal{C}_n$

Brugallé's construction of this family of real reducible curves used so-called *real rational graphs theoretical method*, based on Riemann existence theorem (see [Bru06] and [Ore03]). In particular, this method is not constructive. In

this note, we give a constructive method to get such a family using tropical modifications and combinatorial patchworking for complete intersections (see Theorem 3 and [Stu94]). In Section 2, we recall the notion of amoebas, the approximation of tropical hypersurfaces by amoebas and the notion of a real phase on a tropical hypersurface. In Section 3, we remind the notion of a tropical modification along a rational function. In Section 4 we give our strategy to construct the family $\mathcal{D}_n \cup \mathcal{C}_n$ and in Sections 5 and 6, we explain the details of our construction.

Acknowledgments. I am very grateful to Erwan Brugallé and Ilia Itenberg for useful discussions and advisements.

2 Amoebas and patchworking

In this section, we present a tropical formulation of the combinatorial patchworking theorem for nonsingular tropical hypersurfaces and complete intersections of nonsingular hypersurfaces. Amoebas appear as a fundamental link between classical algebraic geometry and tropical geometry.

Definition 1. *Let $V \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ be an algebraic variety. Its amoeba (see [GKZ08]) is the set $\mathcal{A} = \mathrm{Log}(V) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, where $\mathrm{Log}\,(z_1, \cdots, z_n) = (\log|z_1|, \cdots, \log|z_n|)$. Similarly, we may consider the map*

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \mathrm{Log}_t & : & (\mathbb{C}^*)^n & \to & \mathbb{R}^n \\ & & (z_1 \cdots, z_n) & \mapsto & \left(\dfrac{\log|z_1|}{\log t}, \cdots, \dfrac{\log|z_n|}{\log t}\right), \end{array}$$

for $t > 1$.

Definition 2. *Let X and Y be two non-empty compact subsets of a metric space (M, d). Define their Hausdorff distance $\mathrm{d}_H(X, Y)$ by*

$$\mathrm{d}_H(X, Y) = \max\left\{\sup_{x\in X}\inf_{y\in Y}\mathrm{d}(x, y),\ \sup_{y\in Y}\inf_{x\in X}\mathrm{d}(x, y)\right\}.$$

Theorem 1. *(Mikhalkin [Mik04], Rullgard [Rul01])*
Let $P(x) = \text{“}\sum_{i\in\Delta\cap\mathbb{Z}^n} a_i x^i\text{”}$ be a tropical polynomial in n variables. Let

$$f_t = \sum_{i\in\Delta\cap\mathbb{Z}^n} A_i(t) t^{a_i} z^i$$

be a family of complex polynomials and suppose that $A_i(t) \sim \gamma_i$ when t goes to $+\infty$ with $\gamma_i \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Denote by $Z(f_t)$ the zero-set of f_t in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ and by $V(P)$ the tropical hypersurface associated to P. Then for any compact $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty} \mathrm{Log}_t(Z(f_t)) \cap K = V(P) \cap K,$$

with respect to the Hausdorff distance. We say that the family $Z(f_t)$ is an approximating family of the hypersurface $V(P)$.

Remark 1. *Consider $A_i(t) \in \{\pm 1\}$, for $i \in \Delta \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$. Then, the polynomial f_t is a Viro polynomial (see for example [Ite95] for the definition of a Viro polynomial).*



Figure 2: A real tropical line.



Figure 3: The real part of the real tropical line depicted in Figure 2.

To give a tropical formulation of the combinatorial patchworking theorem for nonsingular tropical hypersurfaces, remind first the notion of a real phase for a nonsingular tropical hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Definition 3. *A real phase on a nonsingular tropical hypersurface S in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is the data for every facet F of S of 2^{n-1} n-uplet of signs $\varphi_{F,i} = (\varphi^1_{F,i}, \cdots, \varphi^n_{F,i})$, $1 \leq i \leq 2^{n-1}$ satisfying to the following properties:*

1. *If $1 \leq i \leq 2^{n-1}$ and $v = (v_1, \cdots, v_n)$ is an integer vector in the direction of F, then there exists $1 \leq j \leq 2^{n-1}$ such that $(-1)^{v_k}\varphi^k_{F,i} = \varphi^k_{F,j}$, for $1 \leq k \leq n$.*

2. *Let H be a codimension 1 face of S. Then for any facet F adjacent to H and any $1 \leq i \leq 2^{n-1}$, there exists a unique face $G \neq F$ adjacent to H and $1 \leq j \leq 2^{n-1}$ such that $\varphi_{G,j} = \varphi_{F,i}$.*

A nonsingular tropical hypersurface equipped with a real phase is called a nonsingular real tropical hypersurface.

Example 1. *In Figure 2, we depicted a real tropical line.*

Remark 2. *In the case of nonsingular tropical curves in $\mathbb{R}^2$, a real phase can also be described in terms of a ribbon structure (see [BIMS]).*

Definition 4. *For any rational convex polyhedron F in $\mathbb{R}^n$ defined by N inequalities*

$$< j_1, x > \leq c_1, \cdots, < j_N, x > \leq c_N,$$

where $j_1 \cdots j_N \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ and $c_1, \cdots, c_N \in \mathbb{R}$, denote by $F^{\exp}$ the rational convex polyhedron in $(\mathbb{R}_+^*)^n$ defined by the inequalities

$$< j_1, x > \leq \exp(c_1), \cdots, < j_N, x > \leq \exp(c_N).$$

Reciprocally for any rational convex polyhedron F in $(\mathbb{R}_+^*)^n$ defined by the inequalities

$$< k_1, x > \leq d_1, \cdots, < k_N, x > \leq d_N,$$

where $k_1 \cdots k_N \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ and $d_1, \cdots, d_N \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$, denote by $F^{\log}$ the rational convex polyhedron in $\mathbb{R}^n$ defined by the inequalities

$$< k_1, x > \leq \log(d_1), \cdots, < k_N, x > \leq \log(d_N).$$

Extend these definitions to rational polyhedral complexes.

For $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \cdots, \varepsilon_n) \in (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$, denote by s_ε the symmetry of $\mathbb{R}^n$ defined by

$$s_\varepsilon(u_1, \cdots, u_n) = ((-1)^{\varepsilon_1} u_1, \cdots, (-1)^{\varepsilon_n} u_n).$$

Let (S, φ) be a nonsingular real tropical hypersurface. Denote by $\mathcal{F}(S)$ the set of all facets of S.

Definition 5. *The real part of (S, φ) is*

$$\mathbb{R}S_\varphi = \bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}(S)} \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq 2^{n-1}} s_{\varphi_{F,i}}(F^{\exp}).$$

Example 2. *In Figure 3, we depicted the real part of the real tropical line from Example 1.*

Let S be a nonsingular tropical hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^n$ given by a tropical polynomial P, and let φ be a real structure on S. Denote by τ the dual subdivision of S.

Definition 6. *A distribution of signs δ at the vertices of τ is called compatible with φ if for any vertex v of τ, the following compatibility condition is satisfied.*

- *For any vertex w of τ adjacent to v, one has $\delta_v \neq \delta_w$ if and only if there exists $1 \leq i \leq 2^{n-1}$ such that $\varphi_{F,i} = (+, \cdots, +)$, where F denotes the facet of S dual to the edge connecting v and w.*

Lemma 1. *For any real phase φ on S, there exist exactly two distributions of signs at the vertices of τ compatible with φ. Reciprocally, given any distribution of signs δ at the vertices of τ, there exists a unique real phase φ on S such that δ is compatible with φ.*

Proof. Let φ be a real phase on S. Choose an arbitrary vertex v of τ and put an arbitrary sign ε at v. Given a vertex of τ equipped with a sign, define a sign at all adjacent vertices by using the compatibility condition in Definition 6. It gives a distribution of signs δ at the vertices of τ compatible with φ such that $\delta_v = \varepsilon$. In fact, let G be a face of S of codimension 1 and denote by F_1, F_2, F_3 the facets of S adjacents to G. It follows from the definition of a real phase that

either $\varphi_{F_i,k} \neq (+,\cdots,+)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq 3$ and $1 \leq k \leq 2^{n-1}$, or that there exist exactly two indices $1 \leq i,j \leq 3$ and such that

$$\varphi_{F_i,k_i} = \varphi_{F_j,k_j} = (+,\cdots,+),$$

where $k_i \in \{1,\cdots,2^{n-1}\}$ and $k_j \in \{1,\cdots,2^{n-1}\}$. This means exactly that going over any cycle Γ made of edges of τ, the signs at the vertices of Γ change an even number of times, and the distribution of signs δ is well defined. The other distribution of signs at the vertices of τ compatible with φ is the distribution δ' defined by $\delta'(v) = -\delta(v)$, for all vertices v of τ. □

Definition 7. *Let Δ be a 2-dimensional polytope in $\mathbb{R}^2_+$ and let τ be a primitive triangulation of Δ. The Harnack distribution of signs at the vertices of τ is defined as follows. If v is a vertex of τ with both coordinates even, put $\delta_v = -$, otherwise put $\delta_v = +$. The real phase compatible with δ is called the Harnack phase. A T-curve associated to any primitive triangulation with a Harnack distribution of signs is a so-called simple Harnack curve (see for example [Ite95] for the notion of a T-curve). Simple Harnack curves have some very particular properties (see [Mik00]).*

For any nonsingular real tropical hypersurface (S,φ) and any $\varepsilon \in (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$, put

$$\mathbb{R}S^{\varepsilon}_{\varphi} = s_{\varepsilon}\left(\mathbb{R}S_{\varphi} \cap s_{\varepsilon}\left((\mathbb{R}^*_+)^n\right)\right).$$

The set $\mathbb{R}S^{\varepsilon}_{\varphi}$ is a finite rational polyhedral complex in $(\mathbb{R}^*_+)^n$. The following theorem is a corollary of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. *Let S be a nonsingular tropical hypersurface given by the tropical polynomial $P(x) = \text{“}\sum_{i\in\Delta\cap\mathbb{Z}^n} a_i x^i\text{”}$, and let τ be the dual subdivision of P. Let φ be a real phase on S and let δ be a distribution of signs at the vertices of τ compatible to δ. Put $f_t = \sum_{i\in\Delta\cap\mathbb{Z}^n} \delta_i t^{a_i} z^i$. Then, for every $\varepsilon \in (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$ and for every compact $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, one has*

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty} \mathrm{Log}_t\left(Z(f_t) \cap s_{\varepsilon}\left((\mathbb{R}^*_+)^n\right)\right) \cap K = \left(\mathbb{R}S^{\varepsilon}_{\varphi}\right)^{\log} \cap K.$$

We say that the family $Z(f_t)$ is an approximating family of (S,φ).

Definition 8. *Let $S_1\cdots,S_k$ be k tropical varieties in $\mathbb{R}^n$. We say that the varieties S_i, $1 \leq i \leq k$, intersect transversely if every top-dimensional cell of $S_1 \cap \cdots \cap S_k$ is a transverse intersection $\cap_{i=1}^k F_i$, where F_i is a facet of S_i.*

The next theorem is a tropical reformulation of the combinatorial patchworking theorem for complete intersections (see [Stu94]).

Theorem 3. *Let $S_1,\cdots,S_k$ be k tropical hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that S_j is given by the tropical polynomial $P^j(x) = \text{“}\sum_{i\in\Delta_j\cap\mathbb{Z}^n} a^j_i x^i\text{”}$, for $1 \leq j \leq k$. Let τ^j be the dual subdivision of P^j, for $1 \leq j \leq k$. Assume that the $S_1,\cdots,S_k$ intersect transversely. Let φ^j be a real phase on S_j and δ^j be a distribution of signs at the vertices of τ^j compatible to δ^j, for $1 \leq j \leq k$. Put $f^j_t = \sum_{i\in\Delta_j\cap\mathbb{Z}^n} \delta^j_i t^{-a^j_i} z^i$. Then for every $\varepsilon \in (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$ and for every compact $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, one has*

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty} \mathrm{Log}_t\left(Z(f^1_t) \cap \cdots \cap Z(f^k_t) \cap s_{\varepsilon}\left((\mathbb{R}^*_+)^n\right)\right) \cap K = \left(\mathbb{R}S^{\varepsilon}_{1,\varphi^1}\right)^{\log} \cap \cdots \cap \left(\mathbb{R}S^{\varepsilon}_{k,\varphi^k}\right)^{\log} \cap K.$$

We say that the family $\left(Z(f_t^1), \cdots, Z(f_t^k)\right)$ *is an approximating family of*

$$\left((S_1, \cdots, S_k), (\varphi^1, \cdots, \varphi^k)\right).$$

3 Tropical modifications of $\mathbb{R}^n$

Tropical modifications were introduced by Mikhalkin in [Mik06]. We recall in this section the definition of a tropical modification of $\mathbb{R}^n$ along a rational function. More details can be found in [Mik06], [BLdM12], [Sha11] and [BIMS]. Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ and $g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be two tropical polynomials. One may consider the rational tropical function $h = \text{“}\frac{f}{g}\text{”}$. Denote by $V(f)$ the tropical hypersurface associated to f and by $V(g)$ the tropical hypersurface associated to g.

Definition 9. *The tropical modification of* $\mathbb{R}^n$ *along* h*, denoted by* $\mathbb{R}^n_h$*, is the tropical hypersurface of* $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ *defined by* “$x_{n+1}g(x) + f(x)$”*.*

We may also describe $\mathbb{R}^n_h$ in a more geometrical way. Consider the graph Γ_h of the piecewise linear function h. It is a polyhedral complex in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Equip the graph Γ_h with the constant weight function equal to 1 on it facets. In general, this graph is not a tropical hypersurface of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ as it is not balanced at faces F of codimension one. At every codimension one face F of Γ_h which fails to satisfy the balancing condition, add a new facet as follows. Denote by w the integer number such that the balancing condition is satisfied at F if we attach to F a facet F^{-1} in the $(0, \cdots, 0, -1)$-direction equipped with the weight w. If $w > 0$, attach F^{-1} (equipped with w) to F and if $w < 0$, attach to F a facet F^{+1} in the $(0, \cdots, 0, 1)$-direction equipped with the weight $-w$. If $V(f)$ and $V(g)$ intersect transversely, then the tropical modification $\mathbb{R}^n_h$ is obtained by attaching to the graph Γ_h the intervals

$$](x, -\infty), (x, h(x))]$$

for all x in the hypersurface $V(f)$, and

$$[(x, h(x)), (x, +\infty)[$$

for all x in the hypersurface $V(g)$ and by equipping each new facet with the unique weight so that the balancing condition is satisfied.

Definition 10. *The principal contraction*

$$\delta_h : \mathbb{R}^n_h \to \mathbb{R}^n$$

associated to h *is the projection of* $\mathbb{R}^n_h$ *onto* $\mathbb{R}^n$*.*

The principal contraction δ_h is one-to-one over $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus (V(f) \cup V(g))$.

Example 3. *In Figure 4, we depicted the tropical modification of* $\mathbb{R}^2$ *along the tropical line given by the tropical polynomial* “$x + y + 0$”*. It is the tropical plane in* $\mathbb{R}^3$ *given by the tropical polynomial* “$x + y + z + 0$”*. In Figure 5, we depicted the tropical modification of* $\mathbb{R}^2$ *along* “$\frac{P}{Q}$”*, where* “$P = x + y + 0$” *and* “$Q = y + (-1)$”*. The tropical curves* $V(P)$ *and* $V(Q)$ *intersect transversely. In Figure 6, we depicted the tropical modification of* $\mathbb{R}^2$ *along* “$\frac{P}{Q_1}$”*, where* “$Q_1 = y + 0$”*. The tropical curves* $V(P)$ *and* $V(Q)$ *do not intersect transversely.*



Figure 4: The tropical modificiation of $\mathbb{R}^2$ along the tropical line "$x + y + 0$".



Figure 5: The tropical modificiation of $\mathbb{R}^2$ along "$\frac{P}{Q}$", where "$P = x + y + 0$" and "$Q = y + (-1)$".



Figure 6: The tropical modificiation of $\mathbb{R}^2$ along "$\frac{P}{Q_1}$", where "$P = x + y + 0$" and "$Q_1 = y + 0$".

4 Strategy of the construction

Let $n \geq 1$. We construct the curve $\mathcal{D}_n$ (resp., $\mathcal{C}_n$) in a 1-parameter family of curves $\mathcal{D}_{n,t}$ (resp., $\mathcal{C}_{n,t}$). To construct such families of curves, we construct a tropical curve D_n with Newton polytope Δ_n (see Figure 7 for the case $n = 3$) and a tropical curve C_n with Newton polytope Θ_n (see Figure 8 for the case $n = 3$). The family of curves $\mathcal{D}_{n,t}$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}_{n,t}$) then appears as an approximating family of the tropical curve D_n (resp., C_n). It turns out that the tropical curves C_n and D_n do not intersect transversely (see Figure 9), so we can not use directly combinatorial patchworking to determine the mutual position of the curves $\mathcal{D}_{n,t}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{n,t}$. We consider then the tropical modification X_n of $\mathbb{R}^2$ along P_n, where P_n is a tropical polynomial defining D_n. In this new model, the curve D_n is the boundary in the vertical direction of the compactification of X_n in $\mathbb{T}^n$, and if $\tilde{C}_n$ is a lifting of C_n in X_n (see Definition 12), then the compactification of $\tilde{C}_n$ in $\mathbb{T}^n$ intersects D_n transversely. Then, we show that the curve $\tilde{C}_n$ is the transverse intersection of X_n with Y_n, a tropical modification of $\mathbb{R}^2$ along a tropical rational function (see Definition 8). We define real phases φ_{D_n} on D_n and real phases φ_{C_n} on C_n (see Figure 15 and Figure 16 for the case $n = 3$) and we construct real phases φ_{X_n} on X_n and real phases φ_{Y_n} on Y_n satisfying compatibility conditions with φ_{D_n} and φ_{C_n} (see Lemma 5). It follows from Theorem 2 that there exists a family of real polynomials $P_{n,t}$ with Newton polytopes Δ_n such that if we put

$$\mathcal{D}_{n,t} = \{P_{n,t}(x, y) = 0\},$$

and

$$\mathcal{X}_{n,t} = \{z + P_{n,t}(x, y) = 0\},$$

one has

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty} \mathrm{Log}_t \left(\mathbb{R}\mathcal{D}_{n,t} \cap s_\varepsilon \left((\mathbb{R}^*_+)^2\right)\right) \cap V = \left(\mathbb{R}D_n^\varepsilon\right)^{\log} \cap V,$$

and

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty} \mathrm{Log}_t \left(\mathbb{R}\mathcal{X}_{n,t} \cap s_\eta \left((\mathbb{R}^*_+)^3\right)\right) \cap W = \left(\mathbb{R}X_n^\eta\right)^{\log} \cap W,$$

for any $\varepsilon \in (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$, any $\eta \in (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$, any compact $V \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and any compact $W \subset \mathbb{R}^3$. It follows from Theorem 3 that there exists a family of surfaces $\mathcal{Y}_{n,t}$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$ and any compact $V \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, one has

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty} \mathrm{Log}_t \left(\mathbb{R}\mathcal{X}_{n,t} \cap \mathbb{R}\mathcal{Y}_{n,t} \cap s_\varepsilon \left((\mathbb{R}^*_+)^3\right)\right) \cap V = \left(\mathbb{R}X_n^\varepsilon\right)^{\log} \cap \left(\mathbb{R}Y_n^\varepsilon\right)^{\log} \cap V.$$

Consider the projection $\pi^{\mathbb{C}} : (\mathbb{C}^*)^3 \to (\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ forgetting the last coordinate. For every t, put

$$\mathcal{C}_{n,t} = \pi^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{X}_{n,t} \cap \mathcal{Y}_{n,t}).$$

Then, the Newton polytope of $\mathcal{C}_{n,t}$ is Θ_n and we show that for t large enough, the chart of $\mathcal{D}_{n,t} \cup \mathcal{C}_{n,t}$ is homeomorphic to the chart depicted in Figure 1. Thus, we put

$$\mathcal{D}_n = \mathcal{D}_{n,t},$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}_n = \mathcal{C}_{n,t}$$

for t large enough.



Figure 7: Tropical curve D_3.



Figure 8: Tropical curve C_3.

5 Construction of the surfaces X_n and Y_n, and of the curve $\tilde{C}_n$

Consider the subdivision of Δ_n given by the triangles

$$\Delta_n^k = Conv\left((k,0),(0,1),(k+1,0)\right),$$

for $0 \leq k \leq n-1$. Consider the subdivision of Θ_n given by the triangles

- $K_n^k = Conv\left((k,0),(k,1),(k+1,0)\right)$,
- $L_n^k = Conv\left((k,1),(k+1,0),(k+1,1)\right)$ and
- $M_n^k = Conv\left((k,1),(k,2),(k+1,1)\right)$,

for $0 \leq k \leq n-1$. Consider a tropical curve D_n dual to the subdivision $\left(\Delta_n^k\right)_{0\leq k\leq n-1}$ of Δ_n (see Figure 7 for the case $n=3$), a tropical curve C_n dual to the subdivision $\left(K_n^k, L_n^k, M_n^k\right)_{0\leq k\leq n-1}$ of Θ_n (see Figure 8 for the case $n=3$), and $2n$ marked points $x_1, \cdots, x_{2n}$ on C_n, such that D_n, C_n and $x_1, \cdots, x_{2n}$ satisfy the following conditions.

1. For any $0 \leq k \leq n-1$, the coordinates of the vertex of D_n dual to Δ_n^k are equal to the coordinates of the vertex of C_n dual to M_n^k.

2. For any $1 \leq k \leq n$ the first coordinate of x_k is equal to the first coordinate of the vertex dual to K_n^{k-1}.

3. For any $n+1 \leq k \leq 2n$, the marked point x_k is on the edge of C_n dual to the edge $[(0,2),(n,1)]$ of Θ_n.

For each marked point x_i, $1 \leq i \leq 2n$, refine the edge of C_n containing x_i by considering the marked point x_i as a vertex of C_n. In Figure 9, we draw the tropical curves D_3 and C_3 on the same picture. Denote by P_n a tropical



Figure 9: The curves D_3 and C_3.

polynomial defining the tropical curve D_n and put $X_n = \mathbb{R}^2_{P_n}$.

Definition 11. *Let $C \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a tropical curve with k vertices of valence 2 denoted by $x_1, \cdots, x_k$ (called the marked points of C). We say that a tropical curve $\tilde{C} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is a lift of $(C, x_1, \cdots, x_k)$ if the following conditions are satisfied.*

- $\pi^{\mathbb{R}}\left(\tilde{C}\right) = C$, *where $\pi^{\mathbb{R}} : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ denotes the vertical projection on the first two coordinates.*
- *Any infinite vertical edge of $\tilde{C}$ is of the form $](x, -\infty), (x, r)]$, with $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}$.*
- *An edge e of $\tilde{C}$ is an infinite vertical edge if and only if $\pi^{\mathbb{R}}(e) \subset \{x_1, \cdots, x_k\}$.*

- *For any point x in the interior of an edge e of C such that $(\pi^{\mathbb{R}})^{-1}(x) \cap \tilde{C}$ is finite, one has*

$$w(e) = \sum_{i=1}^{l} w(f_i) \left[\Lambda_e : \Lambda_{f_i}\right],$$

where $f_1, \cdots, f_l$ are the edges of $\tilde{C}$ containing the preimages of x, the weight of e (resp., f_i) is denoted by $w(e)$ (resp., $w(f_i)$) and Λ_e (resp., Λ_{f_i}) denotes the sublattice of $\mathbb{Z}^3$ generated by a primitive vector in the direction of e (resp., f_i) and by the vector $(0,0,1)$.

Remark 3. *Let C be a nonsingular tropical curve in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with k marked points $x_1, \cdots, x_k$ such that there exists a lifting $\tilde{C}$ of $(C, x_1, \cdots, x_k)$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Then, it follows from Definition 11 that for any edge e of C, there exists a unique edge f of $\tilde{C}$ such that $\pi^{\mathbb{R}}(f) = e$. Moreover, one has $w(f) = 1$. It follows from the balancing condition that at any trivalent vertex v of C, the directions of the lifts of any two edges adjacents to v determine the direction of the lift of the third edge adjacent to v. At any marked point x_i, the direction of the lift of an edge adjacent to x_i and the weight of the infinite vertical edge of $\tilde{C}$ associated to x_i determine the direction of the lift of the other edge adjacent to x_i.*

Definition 12. *Let h be a tropical rational function on $\mathbb{R}^2$ and let $\mathbb{R}^2_h$ be the tropical modification of $\mathbb{R}^2$ along h. Let $C \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a tropical curve with k marked points $x_1, \cdots, x_k$. We say that the marked tropical curve $(C, x_1, \cdots, x_k)$ can be lifted to $\mathbb{R}^2_h$ if there exists a lifting $\tilde{C}$ of $(C, x_1, \cdots, x_k)$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$ such that $\tilde{C} \subset \mathbb{R}^2_h$.*

Remark 4. *Assume that a trivalent marked tropical curve $(C, x_1, \cdots, x_k)$ can be lifted to some tropical modification $\mathbb{R}^2_h$, where $h = \text{“}\dfrac{f}{g}\text{”}$ is some tropical rational function. It follows from the definition of a tropical modification that outside of $V(f) \cup V(g)$, the lift of an edge of C to $\mathbb{R}^2_h$ is uniquely determined.*

Lemma 2. *There exists a unique lifting of the marked tropical curve $(C_n, x_1, \cdots, x_{2n})$ to X_n.*

Proof. It follows from Remark 4 that for all edges e of C_n not belonging to D_n, the lift of e in X_n is uniquely determined. The lift of a marked point x_i is an edge s_i of the form $](x_i, -\infty), (x_i, r_i)]$, where $r_i \in \mathbb{R}$. Denote by e_0 the edge of C_n dual to the edge $[(0,1),(0,2)]$ and by e_{2n} the infinite edge of C_n dual to the edge $[(0,2),(n,1)]$ adjacent to x_{2n} (see Figure 8 for the case $n = 3$). One can see from Remark 3 that if the direction of $\tilde{e}_0$ is $(1,0,s)$ then the direction of the lift of e_{2n} is $(1,0,s+\sum w(s_i))$. Since the edges e_0 and e_{2n} are unbounded, one has $s \geq 0$ and $s + \sum w(s_i) \leq n$. Thus, the direction of $\tilde{e}_0$ is $(1,0,0)$ and $w(s_i) = 1$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$. One can see following Remark 3 that in this case the direction of a lift of any edge of C_n is uniquely determined. The only potential obstructions on the lifts of the edges of C_n to close up come from the cycles of C_n. Denote by Z_k the cycle bounding the face dual to the vertex $(k-1,1)$ of Θ_n, for $2 \leq k \leq n$ (see Figure 10). Denote by $e_1^k, \cdots, e_6^k$ the edges of Z_k as indicated in Figure 10. Orient the edges e_i^k with the orientation coming from the counterclockwise orientation of the cycle Z_k. Denote by $\left[e_i^k\right]$ the lift of the edge e_i^k, oriented with the orientation coming from the one of e_i^k. Denote by $\overrightarrow{e_i^k}$ the primitive vector in the direction of $\left[e_i^k\right]$, and denote by l_i^k the integer length



Figure 10: The cycle Z_k.

of e_i^k. The lifts of the edges of Z_k close up if and only if the following equation is satisfied:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{i=6} l_i^k \overrightarrow{e_i^k} = 0.$$

This equation is equivalent to

$$l_1^k \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -k \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} + l_2^k \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -k \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + l_3^k \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + l_4^k \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ k \end{pmatrix} + l_5^k \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ k \end{pmatrix} + l_6^k \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

This equation is equivalent on the cycle Z_k to

$$\begin{cases} l_2^k = l_4^k, \\ l_1^k = l_5^k. \end{cases}$$

This is equivalent to say that the first coordinate of the marked point x_k is equal to the first coordinate of the vertex dual to K_n^{k-1}, for $2 \leq k \leq n$. □

We construct a tropical rational function h_n such that the curve $\tilde{C}_n$ is the transverse intersection of X_n and $\mathbb{R}^2_{h_n}$. Consider the subdivision of Θ_n given by the triangles

- $G_n^k = Conv\left((k,0),(0,1),(k+1,0)\right)$,
- $H_n^k = Conv\left((k,1),(k+1,1),(n,0)\right)$ and
- $I_n^k = Conv\left((k,1),(0,2),(k+1,1)\right)$,



Figure 11: The curve F_3 and the curve E.



Figure 12: The relative position of the curves F_3, C_3 and E.

for $0 \leq k \leq n-1$. Consider a tropical curve F_n dual to the subdivision $\left(G_n^k, H_n^k, I_n^k\right)_{0\leq k\leq n-1}$ and a horizontal line E such that the following conditions are satisfied (see Figure 11 and Figure 12 for the case $n = 3$).

1. The horizontal line E is below any vertex of C_n.
2. The marked point x_k is on the edge dual to the edge $[(k-1,0),(k,0)]$, for $1 \leq k \leq n$.
3. The edge of F_n dual to the edge $[(1,1),(n,0)]$ intersects transversely the edge of C_n dual to the edge $[(0,2),(n,1)]$ at the point x_{n+1}.
4. The edge of F_n dual to the edge $[(k,1),(k+1,1)]$ intersects transversely the edge of C_n dual to the edge $[(0,2),(n,1)]$ at the point x_{n+k+1}, for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$.

Denote by f_n a tropical polynomial satisfying $V(f_n) = F_n$ and by g a tropical polynomial satisfying $V(g) = E$. Put $h_n^0 = \text{“}\frac{f_n}{g}\text{”}$.

Lemma 3. *There exists a unique lifting of the curve $(C_n, x_1, \cdots, x_{2n})$ to $\mathbb{R}^2_{h_n^0}$. Moreover, there exists $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\tilde{C_n}$ is the lifting of $(C_n, x_1, \cdots, x_{2n})$ to $\mathbb{R}^2_{\text{“}\lambda_0 h_n^0\text{”}}$.*



Figure 13: The Newton polytope of X_n.



Figure 14: The Newton polytope of Y_n.

Proof. Let e be an edge of C_n not contained in F_n. Then the lift of e to $\mathbb{R}^2_{h_n^0}$ is uniquely determined. Consider the subdivision of $\mathbb{R}^2$ induced by the tropical curve $F_n \cup E$. Denote by F^k the face of this subdivision dual to the point $(k,1)$, and by G^k the face dual to the point $(k,2)$, for $0 \leq k \leq n$. The direction of the face of $\mathbb{R}^2_{h_n^0}$ projecting to F^k is generated by the vectors $(0,1,-1)$ and $(1,k,0)$, and the direction of the face of $\mathbb{R}^2_{h_n^0}$ projecting to G^k is generated by the vectors $(0,1,0)$ and $(1,k,k)$. It follows from these computations that the lift to $\mathbb{R}^2_{h_n^0}$ of an edge e of C_n not contained in F_n has same direction as the edge of $\tilde{C}_n$ projecting to e. From Remark 3, we deduce in this case that $(C_n, x_1, \cdots, x_{2n})$ has a unique lifting to $\mathbb{R}^2_{h_n^0}$ and that the result is a vertical translation of $\tilde{C}_n$. Then there exists $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the lifting of $(C_n, x_1, \cdots, x_{2n})$ to $\mathbb{R}^2_{\text{“}\lambda_0 h\text{”}}$ is $\tilde{C}_n$. □

Put $h_n = \text{“}\lambda_0 h_n^0\text{”}$ and $Y_n = \mathbb{R}^2_{\text{“}\lambda_0 h\text{”}}$.

Lemma 4. *The surfaces X_n and Y_n intersect transversely and $\tilde{C}_n = X_n \cap Y_n$.*

Proof. It follows from Lemma 3 that $\tilde{C}_n \subset X_n \cap Y_n$. By construction, any edge of $\tilde{C}_n$ is the transverse intersection of a face of X_n with a face of Y_n. So $\tilde{C}_n \subset X_n \cdot Y_n$, where $X_n \cdot Y_n$ denotes the stable intersection of X_n and Y_n. Let us compute the number (counted with multiplicity) of infinite edges of $X_n \cdot Y_n$ in a given direction. Denote by $\Delta(X_n)$ the Newton polytope of X_n, and denote by $\Delta(Y_n)$ the Newton polytope of Y_n. One has

$$\Delta(X_n) = Conv\left((0,0,0),(n,0,0),(0,1,0),(0,0,1)\right),$$

and

$$\Delta(Y_n) = Conv\left((0,0,0),(n,0,0),(n,1,0),(0,2,0),(0,0,1),(1,0,1)\right),$$

see Figure 13 and Figure 14. By considering faces of the Minkowsky sum

$$\Delta(X_n) + \Delta(Y_n) = \{a+b \mid a \in \Delta(X_n) \text{ and } b \in \Delta(Y_n)\},$$

one can see that $X_n \cdot Y_n$ has two edges (counted with multiplicity) of direction $(-1,0,0)$, n edges (counted with multiplicity) of direction $(0,-1,0)$, $2n$ edges (counted with multiplicity) of direction $(0,0,-1)$, one edge of direction $(1,0,n)$



Figure 15: The real part $\mathbb{R}D_3$.



Figure 16: The real part $\mathbb{R}C_3$.

and one edge of direction $(1, n, n)$. Since the curve $\tilde{C}_n$ has also two edges of direction $(-1, 0, 0)$, n edges of direction $(0, -1, 0)$, $2n$ edges of direction $(0, 0, -1)$, one edge of direction $(1, 0, n)$ and one edge of direction $(1, n, n)$, we conclude that $\tilde{C}_n = X_n \cdot Y_n = X_n \cap Y_n$. □

6 Real phases on X_n and Y_n

Consider the Harnack phase φ_{D_n} on D_n and the Harnack phase φ_{C_n} on C_n (see Definition 7). We depicted the real part of D_3 on Figure 15 and the real part of C_3 on Figure 16. Notice that for the real phase φ_{C_n} on C_n, every edge of C_n containing a marked point is equipped with the sign $(-, +)$. Consider the $2n$ marked points $r_1, \cdots r_{2n}$ on $\mathbb{R}C_n$, where r_i is the symmetric copy of x_i in the quadrant $\mathbb{R}^*_- \times \mathbb{R}^*_+$ (see Figure 16 for the case $n = 3$).

Lemma 5. *There exist a real phase φ_{X_n} on X_n and a real phase φ_{Y_n} on Y_n such that the following conditions are satisfied:*

1. *$\mathbb{R}D_n$ is the projection of the union of all vertical faces of $\mathbb{R}X_n$.*
2. *$\pi^{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}X_n \cap \mathbb{R}Y_n) = \mathbb{R}C_n$.*
3. *An edge e of $\mathbb{R}X_n \cap \mathbb{R}Y_n$ is unbounded in direction $(0, 0, -1)$ if and only if $\pi^{\mathbb{R}}(e) \subset \{r_1, \cdots, r_{2n}\}$.*

Proof. Denote by δ_{D_n} the Harnack distribution of signs at the vertices of the subdivision of Δ_n dual to D_n. By definition, φ_{D_n} is compatible with δ_{D_n}. Complete the distribution of signs δ_{D_n} to a distribution of signs δ_{X_n} at the vertices of the dual subdivision of X_n by choosing an arbitrary sign for the vertex $(0, 0, 1)$. Define φ_{X_n} to be the real phase on X_n compatible with δ_{X_n}. By construction, φ_{X_n} satisfies Condition 1 of Lemma 5. Define a real phase on Y_n as follows. Denote by $\mathcal{G}$ the set of all faces of Y_n containing an edge of $\tilde{C}_n$. We first define a real phase $\varphi_{Y_n,F}$ on any face $F \in \mathcal{G}$. Consider, as in the proof

of Lemma 3, the subdivision of $\mathbb{R}^2$ induced by the tropical curve $F_n \cup E$. Denote by F^k the face of this subdivision dual to the point $(k,1)$, and by G^k the face dual to the point $(k,2)$, for $0 \leq k \leq n$. Denote by $\tilde{F}^k$ the face of Y_n such that $\pi^{\mathbb{R}}(\tilde{F}^k) = F^k$ and by $\tilde{G}^k$ the face of Y_n such that $\pi^{\mathbb{R}}(\tilde{G}^k) = G^k$, for $0 \leq k \leq n$. Denote by f_k the edge of F_n containing x_k and by $\tilde{f}_k$ the vertical face of Y_n projecting to f_k, for $1 \leq k \leq 2n$. One can see that all the edges of $\tilde{C}_n$ are contained in the union of all faces $\tilde{F}^k$, $\tilde{G}^k$ and $\tilde{f}_k$. For any $0 \leq k \leq n$, one can see that the face $\tilde{F}^k$ contains an edge e of $\tilde{C}_n$ such that e is contained in a non-vertical face F_e of X_n. Denote by $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$ a component of the real phase φ_{C_n} on $\pi^{\mathbb{R}}(e)$. Since F_e is non-vertical, there exists a unique sign ε_3 such that $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3)$ is a component of the real phase φ_{X_n} on F_e. Define the real phase φ_{Y_n} on $\tilde{F}^k$ to contain $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3)$ as a component. Condition 3 of Lemma 5 determines the real phase φ_{Y_n} on $\tilde{f}_k$, for any $1 \leq k \leq 2n$. Since the three faces $\tilde{F}^n$, $\tilde{G}^1$ and $\tilde{f}_{n+1}$ are adjacent, it follows from the definition of a real phase that the phase on $\tilde{G}^1$ is determined from the phase on $\tilde{F}^n$ and the phase on $\tilde{f}_{n+1}$. Since the three faces $\tilde{G}^{k+1}$, $\tilde{G}^k$ and $\tilde{f}_{n+k+1}$ are adjacent, for any $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, it follows from the definition of a real phase that the phase on $\tilde{G}^{k+1}$ is determined from the phase on $\tilde{G}^k$ and the phase on $\tilde{f}_{n+k+1}$. By induction, it determines the real phase φ_{Y_n} on $\tilde{G}^k$, for $1 \leq k \leq n$.
We now extend the definition of φ_{Y_n} to all faces of Y_n. Consider the set of edges $\mathcal{E}$ of the dual subdivision of Y_n such that $e \in \mathcal{E}$ if and only if e is dual to an element in $\mathcal{G}$. Consider $\mathcal{V}$ the set of vertices of edges in $\mathcal{E}$. As in Lemma 1, one can consider a distribution of signs on $\mathcal{V}$ compatible with the real phase on $\mathcal{G}$. Extend arbitrarily this distribution to all vertices of the dual subdivision of Y_n and consider the real phase φ_{Y_n} on Y_n compatible with the extended distribution of signs. By construction, the real phases φ_{X_n} and φ_{Y_n} satisfy the Conditions 1, 2 and 3 of Lemma 5. □

Put

$$\mathbb{R}\tilde{C}_n = \mathbb{R}X_n \cap \mathbb{R}Y_n.$$

Consider, as explained in Section 4, a family of real algebraic curves $\mathcal{D}_{n,t}$ approximating (D_n, φ_{D_n}), a family of real algebraic surfaces $\mathcal{X}_{n,t}$ approximating (X_n, φ_{X_n}) and a family of real algebraic surfaces $\mathcal{Y}_{n,t}$ approximating (Y_n, φ_{Y_n}). Put $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{n,t} = \mathcal{X}_{n,t} \cap \mathcal{Y}_{n,t}$.

For every t, put $\mathcal{C}_{n,t} = \pi^{\mathbb{C}}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{n,t}\right)$. Consider $\overline{\mathbb{R}X_n}$ the partial compactification of $\mathbb{R}X_n$ in $(\mathbb{R}^*)^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\overline{\mathbb{R}\mathcal{X}_{n,t}}$ the partial compactification of $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{X}_{n,t}$ in $(\mathbb{R}^*)^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, for any t. One has $\overline{\mathbb{R}X_n} \cap \left((\mathbb{R}^*)^2 \times \{0\}\right) = \mathbb{R}D_n$ and $\overline{\mathbb{R}\mathcal{X}_{n,t}} \cap \left((\mathbb{R}^*)^2 \times \{0\}\right) = \mathbb{R}\mathcal{D}_{n,t}$. Then, it follows from Theorem 3 that for t large enough, one has the following homeomorphism of pairs:

$$\left(\overline{\mathbb{R}\mathcal{X}_{n,t}}, \mathbb{R}\mathcal{D}_{n,t} \cup \mathbb{R}\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{n,t}\right) \simeq \left(\overline{\mathbb{R}X_n}, \mathbb{R}D_n \cup \mathbb{R}\tilde{C}_n\right). \tag{1}$$

Moreover, the map $\pi^{\mathbb{R}}$ gives by restriction a bijection from $\overline{\mathbb{R}\mathcal{X}_{n,t}}$ to $(\mathbb{R}^*)^2$ fixing $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{D}_{n,t}$ and sending $\mathbb{R}\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{n,t}$ to $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{C}_{n,t}$. The map $\pi^{\mathbb{R}}$ and the homeomorphism (1) give rise to the following homeomorphism of pairs, for t large enough:

$$\left((\mathbb{R}^*)^2, \mathbb{R}\mathcal{D}_{n,t} \cup \mathbb{R}\mathcal{C}_{n,t}\right) \simeq \left(\overline{\mathbb{R}X_n}, \mathbb{R}D_n \cup \mathbb{R}\tilde{C}_n\right). \tag{2}$$



Figure 17: The curve $\mathbb{R}D_n \cup \mathbb{R}\tilde{C}_n$ in the surface $\overline{\mathbb{R}X_n}$ and the projection $\pi^{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}\tilde{C}_n)$.



Figure 18: The curve $\mathbb{R}D_n \cup T_n$ in the surface S_n and the projection $\pi^{\mathbb{R}}(T_n)$

It remains to describe the pair $\left(\overline{\mathbb{R}X_n}, \mathbb{R}D_n \cup \mathbb{R}\tilde{C}_n\right)$. One has $\pi^{\mathbb{R}}(\overline{\mathbb{R}X_n}) = (\mathbb{R}^*)^2$ and for any $x \in \mathbb{R}D_n$, $(\pi^{\mathbb{R}})^{-1}(x)$ is an interval of the form $\{x\} \times [-h, h]$, see Figure 17 for a local picture.

Remark 5. *The set $(\pi^{\mathbb{R}})^{-1}(\mathbb{R}D_n)$ can be seen as a tubular neighborhood of $\mathbb{R}D_n$ in $\mathbb{R}X_n$.*

Outside of $(\pi^{\mathbb{R}})^{-1}(\mathbb{R}D_n)$, the map $\pi|_{\overline{\mathbb{R}X_n}}$ is bijective. Let V be a small tubular neighborhood of $\mathbb{R}D_n$ in $(\mathbb{R}^*)^2$. Perturb slightly the pair $(\overline{\mathbb{R}X_n}, \mathbb{R}\tilde{C}_n)$ inside $(\pi^{\mathbb{R}})^{-1}(V)$ to produce a pair (S_n, T_n), such that

- $(\overline{\mathbb{R}X_n}, \mathbb{R}\tilde{C}_n) \simeq (S_n, T_n)$,
- $\mathbb{R}D_n \subset S_n$,
- $\pi^{\mathbb{R}}$ defines an homeomorphism from S_n to $(\mathbb{R}^*)^2$,

see Figure 17 and Figure 18 for a local picture. One obtains the following homeomorphism of pairs, for t large enough:

$$\left((\mathbb{R}^*)^2, \mathbb{R}\mathcal{D}_{n,t} \cup \mathbb{R}\mathcal{C}_{n,t}\right) \simeq \left((\mathbb{R}^*)^2, \mathbb{R}D_n \cup \pi^{\mathbb{R}}(T_n)\right). \tag{3}$$

By construction, the curve $\pi^{\mathbb{R}}(T_n)$ is a small perturbation of $\mathbb{R}C_n$, intersecting the curve $\mathbb{R}D_n$ transversely and only at the marked points $r_1, \cdots, r_{2n}$, see Figure 19 and Figure 20 for the case $n = 3$. Then, for t large enough, the chart of the reducible curve $\mathcal{D}_{n,t} \cup \mathcal{C}_{n,t}$ is homeomorphic to the chart depicted in Figure 1.

References

[BIMS] E. Brugallé, I. Itenberg, G. Mikhalkin, and K. Shaw. Brief introduction to tropical geometry. *To appear in the Proceedings of 21st Gökova Geometry-Topology Conference.*



Figure 19: $\mathbb{R}C_3 \cup \mathbb{R}D_3$.



Figure 20: $\pi(T_3) \cup \mathbb{R}D_3$

[BLdM12] E. A. Brugallé and L. M. López de Medrano. Inflection points of real and tropical plane curves. *J. Singul.*, 4:74–103, 2012.

[Bru06] E. Brugallé. Real plane algebraic curves with asymptotically maximal number of even ovals. *Duke Math. J.*, 131(3):575–587, 2006.

[GKZ08] I. M. Gelfand, M. M. Kapranov, and A. V. Zelevinsky. *Discriminants, resultants and multidimensional determinants*. Modern Birkhäuser Classics. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2008. Reprint of the 1994 edition.

[Haa95] B. Haas. Les multilucarnes: nouveaux contre-exemples à la conjecture de Ragsdale. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 320(12):1507–1512, 1995.

[Ite95] I. Itenberg. Counter-examples to Ragsdale conjecture and T-curves. In *Real algebraic geometry and topology (East Lansing, MI, 1993)*, volume 182 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 55–72. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995.

[Ite01] I. Itenberg. On the number of even ovals of a nonsingular curve of even degree in $\mathbb{CP}^2$. In *Topology, ergodic theory, real algebraic geometry*, volume 202 of *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2*, pages 121–129. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2001.

[Mik00] G. Mikhalkin. Real algebraic curves, the moment map and amoebas. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 151(1):309–326, 2000.

[Mik04] G. Mikhalkin. Decomposition into pairs-of-pants for complex algebraic hypersurfaces. *Topology*, 43(5):1035–1065, 2004.

[Mik06] G. Mikhalkin. Tropical geometry and its applications. In *International Congress of Mathematicians. Vol. II*, pages 827–852. Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2006.

[Ore03] S. Yu. Orevkov. Riemann existence theorem and construction of real algebraic curves. *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6)*, 12(4):517–531, 2003.

[Rul01] H. Rullgard. Polynomial amoebas and convexity. *Preprint*, 2001.

[Sha11] K. Shaw. *Tropical Intersection Theory and Surfaces*. PhD thesis, Université de Genève, 2011.

[Stu94] B. Sturmfels. Viro's theorem for complete intersections. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)*, 21(3):377–386, 1994.